

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

DD/A Registry

File

Travel

DD/A 74-4171

31 OCT 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Defensive Systems Division, OWI

SUBJECT : Agency Notice [ ] Re Travel of Employees  
by Commercial Aircraft

STAT STAT

1. I would like to make several comments relating to your note to me regarding subject Notice. Firstly, if, as you stated, the possibility of domestic aircraft highjacking is a low one, I believe most of us would agree that this is only because of the rather intensive and sophisticated security systems that have been put into effect at airports. We continue to receive fairly hard information concerning planned or threatened highjackings, both in domestic situations as well as foreign. Since the security systems obviously are fallible, I believe it is incumbent upon us to do everything we can to prepare people for a highjacking contingency.

2. The Notice, dated 2 October 1974, was not a pro forma repeat of past Notices. It incorporated several changes, both of substance and of an editorial nature. Part of the problem results from the fact that the previous Notices on the subject carried an expiration date. Since we did not believe that the information contained in this publication had a predictable date upon which it would no longer be pertinent, we wanted to get this guidance to employees in some sort of a permanent format. Originally it had been proposed that the security regulations be amended and that this information be incorporated in the permanent regulation books. However, the General Counsel quite properly pointed out that the material is not truly regulatory in nature and, in accordance with Agency practice, was more appropriate for publication as an on-going Headquarters Notice without an expiration date. STAT

3. On your question of whether staff employees who are alternate TS Control Officers can carry material on domestic flights, the answer is yes. The underlined sentence in paragraph 2.d. of [ ] is meant to subsume the alternate TS Control Officer. STAT

MORI/CDF Pages 4 thru 7

STAT

STAT

AC-DDA: [ ] ydc (23 Oct 74)  
 Rewritten: ADD/A:JNM/ms (31 Oct 74)  
 Distribution:

*121 (John)*  
 John N. McMahon  
 Associate Deputy Director  
 for  
 Administration

Orig - Adse

- 1 - C/RCS, w/Orig of Note dtd 10/20 to ADDA fr C/DSD/OWI, subj: Agency Hijack Notice, w/Att [ ] + Background
- 1 - DD/A Chrono
- 1 - DD/A Subject, w/cy of C/DSD/OWI Note dtd 10/20 & [ ]
- 1 - HGB Chrono

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2006/09/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006200110008-6

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : ADDA

DATE: 10-20

FROM : C/DSD/CWI

SUBJECT: Agency Hijack notice

John,

Your recent notice seems to me to be a pro forma repeat of past notices. I assert that as such it is no longer useful. No domestic aircraft has been hijacked for years-- though the possibility still exists, it is a low one. I have not been able to get an answer to the question of whether staff employees (who are alt TS control ofers) can carry material on domestic flights. How about your people doing

(over)

a fresh look at the problem? If you let the old regulation stand,  
more and more people are going to just ignore it.



STAT

25X1

**Page Denied**

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Denied

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Director of Security

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

30 October 1974

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

ADD/Administration

2.

3.

STAT

4.

STAT

5.

STAT

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

I would suggest that your memo to [ ] be redone to have paragraph 3 read:

"3. On your question of whether staff employees who are alternate TS Control Officers can carry material on domestic flights, the answer is yes. The underlined sentence in paragraph 2.d. of [ ] is meant to subsume the alternate TS Control Officer."

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM					
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>					
TO		DATE	INITIALS		
1		10/23	D		
2					
3	Mr. McMahon				
4					
5	STAT				
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
<b>Remarks:</b> STAT John - Since you mentioned when you asked for background on this subject that you wanted to send [ ] a note, I went ahead and wrote one. Please note memo					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER (over)					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.					DATE
AC-DDA					10/23
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

is unclassified. I defer  
to you as to whether  
or not para one warrants  
classification.

O'Neil.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY *per 8/1/70*

STAT

DDA 74-4171

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Defensive Systems Division, OWI

SUBJECT : Agency Notice Re Travel of Employees by  
Commercial Aircraft



1. I would like to make several comments relating to your note to me regarding subject notice. Firstly, if as you stated the possibility of domestic aircraft highjacking is a low one, I believe most of us would agree that this is only because of the rather intensive and sophisticated security systems that have been put into effect at airports. We continue to receive fairly hard information concerning planned or threatened highjackings both in domestic situations as well as foreign. Since the security systems obviously are fallible, I believe it is incumbent upon us to do everything we can to prepare people for a highjacking contingency.

2. The notice dated 2 October 1974 was not a pro forma repeat of past notices. It incorporated several changes both of substance and of an editorial nature. Part of the problem results from the fact that the previous notices on the subject carried an expiration date. Since we did not believe that the information contained in this publication had a predictable date upon which it would no longer be pertinent, we wanted to get this guidance to employees in some sort of a permanent format. Originally it had been proposed that the security regulations be amended and that this information be incorporated in the permanent regulation books. However, the General Counsel quite properly pointed out that the material is not truly regulatory in nature and in accordance with Agency practice was more appropriate for publication as an on-going Headquarters notice without an expiration date.

3. I believe your question of whether staff employees who are alternate TS Control Officers can carry material on domestic flights is one which certainly deserves an answer. If you continue to have trouble obtaining one, please let me know the details and I will be happy to assist you in any way I can.

John N. McMahon  
Associate Deputy Director  
for  
Administration